



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/867,791	05/29/2001	David Boreham	13220.021001;PS5834	13220.021001;PS5834 7719	
32615 7	590 11/10/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
OSHA & MAY L.L.P./SUN			LU, KUEN S		
1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
110001011, 1	.21 / 1010		2167		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	O				
Advisory Action	09/867,791	BOREHAM ET AL.	·				
nancely near.	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kuen S Lu	2167					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	a n in				
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension							
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (ice later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejection					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpl	ifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	endment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT p	lace the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were n	ewly				
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-30</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other: see continuation sheet							

Continuation of 10. Other: The newly presented arguments filed on 10/4/2004 are acknowledged and considered, however, they are not persuasive and presented in a timely fashion for the Examiner to rely on. For after final rejection practice relative to affidavits or declarations filed under 37 CFR 1.131 and 1.132, please see MPEP § 715.09 and § 716.

Kuen S. Lu Lu Patent Examiner November 2, 2004 Luke Wassum
Primary Examiner
November 2, 2004